



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,129	08/03/2001	Hartwig Schwier	P01,0133	4258
26574 7590 05/01/2007 SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			EXAMINER ZIA, SYED	
			ART UNIT 2131	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/01/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/807,129		SCHWIER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Syed Zia		2131	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to amendment and request for reconsideration filed on January 25, 2007. Original application contained Claims 1-11. Applicant previously amended Claims 1, 7, 9, 11, and added new Claim 12. Applicant currently added new Claims 13-14. The amendment filed on January 25, 2007 have been entered and made of record. Therefore, Claims 1-14 are pending for consideration.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 22, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicants did not submit any arguments with request for continuing examination. However, for previous arguments please refer office action dated September 22, 2006, and February 14, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1-14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Benson et al. (U. S. Patent 6,651,169).

1. Regarding Claim 1 Benson teach and describe a method for operating a data processing system with copy protection for user programs (Fig. 11-3), comprising the steps of:

providing the data processing system with a copy protection identification by a hardware module (col.5 line 46 to line 55),

providing a storage medium containing a plurality of application programs as well as an installation program and a cryptoprogram a user (col.5 line 46 to line 58, and col.7 line 1 to line 20),

communicating from a producer to the user an encrypted product identification that references at least one of said user programs, communicating a user identification that identifies the user, and a copy protection identification to the user, the communicated copy protection identification corresponding to the copy protection identification connected via the hardware module (col.6 line 4 to line 45, col.10 line 31 to line 45);

when processing the installation program on the data processing system, inputting the communicated copy protection identification, the user identification and the encrypted product

Art Unit: 2131

identification communicated from the producer, providing each of said user program with a predetermined memory area into which the copy protection identification can be entered (col.3 line 65 to col.5 line 10),

comparing by the installation program the copy protection identification that has been input to the copy protection identification connected via the hardware module and, given coincidence, deciphering the encrypted product identification upon utilization of the user identification as a key, and identifying one of said user programs referenced in the product identification, loading a selected one of said user programs from the storage medium into a memory area of the data processing system, entering by the cryptoprogram the copy protection identification into a predetermined memory area of the selected user program, and before running the selected user program, comparing the copy protection identification contained in the predetermined memory area to the copy protection identification directly connected with the data processing system via the hardware module, and running the selected user program only given coincidence (Fig.3, col. 7 line 28 to col.8 line 34, and col.10 line 15 to col. 11 line 14).

5. Claims 2-11 are rejected applied as above in rejecting Claim 1. Furthermore, the system of teaches and describes a system analyzing network intrusion, further

As per Claim 2, when running the installation program, further running of the installation program is only continued after the comparison of the copy protection identification that has been input to the copy protection identification connected with the data processing system given coincidence (col.6 line 18 to line 67).

As per Claim 3 the product identification also contains the copy protection identification, and further comprising the step of: comparing said copy protection identification to the copy protection identification connected with the data processing system, and continuing running of the further program steps only given coincidence (col.6 line 18 to line 67, and col.7 line 30 to col.8 line 34).

As per Claim 4 referencing a plurality of application programs in said product identification; determining a list of said application programs upon decipherment of the product identification; and checking said list for correctness (col.7 line 10 to line 25).

As per Claim 5 said step of checking said list for correctness ensues on a basis of a checksum check (col.7 line 10 to line 25).

As per Claim 6 accepting a user selection from the application programs of the list; and loading only the selected application programs from the storage medium into the memory area of the data processing system (col.7 line 10 to line 25).

As per Claim 7 undertaking an authentication between the installation program and a key program when the key program is called (col.3 line 65 to col.4 line 17).

As per Claim 8 said authentication is implemented according to a challenge-response protocol (col.7 line 55 to col.8 line 30).

As per Claim 9, wherein the product identification is compressed according to a static Huffman-Baum method (col.2 line 20 to line 37).

As per Claim 10 the copy protection identification connected with the data processing system is situated on a hardware module that is permanently connected to the data processing system (col.5 line 46 to line 55).

Art Unit: 2131

As per Claim 11, wherein the hardware module is a dongle that is pluggably connected to at least one of a parallel interface and a serial interface and a USB bus of the data processing system; and said dongle including the copy protection identification (Fig.1-2, col.10 line 31 to line 45).

As per Claim 12, wherein said step of comparing by the installation program the copy protection identification connected via the hardware module to the communicated copy protection identification requires no decryption (col.10 line 15 to col. 11 line 14).

As per Claim 13, further comprising: releasing a further one of said application programs on said storage medium to said user using a same said user identification (col.3 line 65 to col.5 line 10).

As per Claim 14, wherein selected ones of said plurality of application programs are released to the user program-by-program (col.7 line 10 to line 35).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed Zia whose telephone number is 571-272-3798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:00.

Art Unit: 2131

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SZ
April 24, 2007

S. Sharma
SYED 2/10
PRIMARY EXAMINER
RTH 7/1/07